

LENGTHY AND COMPLEX TRIALS

CLARE CONNELLY
COMPASS CHAMBERS
FACULTY OF ADVOCATES



WHAT SORT OF TRIALS

FRAUD IS THE MOST COMMON

• MONEY LAUNDERING TENDS TO BE CHARGED IN CONJUNCTION WITH FRAUD

 OTHER TRIALS MAY BE COMPLEX BUT THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF A CRIMINAL TRIAL IS 5
 - 7 DAYS.



What is difficult about Fraud Trials

- They are boring
- They generally involve consideration of detailed financial records and often lots of them
- They can involve complex matters such as Share Purchase Agreements.
- The laws relating to matters such as VAT or tax are impenetrable for the best of us.



MONEY LAUNDERING

- S.327 OF THE PROCEEDS OF CRIME ACT 2002
 CREATES ONE OF THREE PRINCIPAL MONEY
 LAUNDERING OFFENCES, THE OTHER 2 ARE AT S.
 328 AND S.329.
- CRIMINAL PROPERTY IS DEFINED AT S.340
- ALL 3 OFFENCS APPLY TO THE LAUNDERING ON AN OFFENDER'S OWN PROCEEDS OF CRIME AS WELL AS THOSE OF SOMEONE ELSE.
- DEFENCES
- PENALTIES



MANAGING LENGTHY TRIALS

• IN 1993, THE THEN LONGEST CRIMINAL TRIAL IN SCOTLAND COLLAPSED AFTER 122 DAYS. PF V GEORGE THOMSON, HAMILTON SHERIFF COURT.

 PF V KUPKA, FOJTIK AND RONDOS, GLASGOW SHERIFF COURT, ENDED MARCH 2013 AFTER 6 MONTHS BECOMING THE NEW RECORD HOLDERS



MANAGEMENT OF LONG AND COMPLEX CASES IN SCOTLAND

• TRANSCO PLC V HM ADVOCATE 2005 JC 44

• HM ADVOCATE V MCLAREN AND OTHERS, UNREPORTED, GLASGOW HIGH COURT, MAY 2017



ARTICLE 6 AND LONG TRIALS

 A long trial involving complex and detailed evidence that requires to be considered in respect of each charge raises the question of whether a reasonable jury could be assumed to recollect the evidence of the witnesses to a standard that would allow a fair and reasonable assessment of the evidence. Unless this is the conclusion reached, the accused can not have a fair trial.

MANAGEMENT IN ENGLAND AND WALES



- JUBILEE LINE CASE
- 7 ACCUSED (6 BY TIME OF COLLAPSE)
- 4 CORRUPTION CHARGES AND 2 CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD
- ESTIMATED LENGTH 6-8 MONTHS
- EVIDENCE COMMENCED 2ND JULY 2003
- COLLAPSED 22 MARCH 2005



• REASON FOR DESERTION: Crown requested the trial be discontinued because the evidence was no longer a "living story" and had lost its "immediacy and impact".



LORD CHIEF JUSTICE'S PROTOCOL

- A Protocol and three new Practice Directions handed down on 22 March 2005
- CASE MANAGEMNET BY THE JUDICIARY-DEDICATED JUDGE THROUGHOUT
- NO CASE TO LAST MORE THAN 3 MONTHS. 6
 MONTHS MAXIMUM SAVE EXCEPTIONAL
 CIRCUMSTANCES
- EARLY TRIAL DATE



- There should then be a real dialogue between the judge and all advocates for the purpose of identifying:
 - The focus of the prosecution case.
- The common ground.
- The real issues in the case. (Rule 3.2 of the Criminal Procedure Rules.)



THE TRIAL

- The particular hazard of heavy fraud trials
- Judicial mastery of the case
- The order of the evidence
- Case management sessions
- Controlling prolix cross-examination
- Electronic presentation of evidence
- Use of interviews



• Jury Management

Maxwell hours

• Livenote

Defence representation and defence costs



MANAGING A DEFENCE CASE

RELIANCE ON ELECTRONIC SOURCES

CLIENT MANAGEMENT

- KEEPING A JURY ENGAGED
- PROTECTING THE ARTICLE 6 RIGHTS OF AN ACCUSED



Contact

Compass Chambers
Parliament House
Edinburgh
EH1 1RF
DX 549302, Edinburgh 36
LP 3, Edinburgh 10
www.compasschambers.com

Clare Connelly

Advocate

Mobile: 07957 113 833

 ${\bf Clare. connelly@compass chambers. com}$

Gavin Herd

Practice Manager

Phone: 0131 260 5648

Fax: 0131 225 3642

gavin.herd@compasschambers.com